

## Sample STRIPS planning problem

Consider a robot whose behavior is described the following STRIPS operators:

$Op(ACTION : Go(x, y), PRECOND : At(ROBOT, x), EFFECT : \neg At(ROBOT, x) \wedge At(ROBOT, y))$

$Op(ACTION : Pick(o), PRECOND : At(ROBOT, x) \wedge At(o, x), EFFECT : \neg At(o, x) \wedge Holding(o))$

$Op(ACTION : Drop(o), PRECOND : At(ROBOT, x) \wedge Holding(o), EFFECT : At(o, x) \wedge \neg Holding(o))$

- A. The operators allow the robot to hold more than object. Show how to modify them with an *EmptyHand* predicate for a robot that can only hold one object.
- B. Assuming these are the only actions in the world, write a successor state axiom for *EmptyHand*.
- C. Suppose the initial state is  
 $At(Apple, Room1) \wedge At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room1) \wedge EmptyHand( )$   
and the goal is  
 $At(Apple, Room2) \wedge At(Orange, Room2)$   
Derive a total-order plan for this situation, and give the state after each action.

ANSWERS ARE OVER THE PAGE

Answers:

- A. 
$$Op \left( \begin{array}{l} ACTION : Pick(o), PRECOND : EmptyHand( ) \wedge At(ROBOT, x) \wedge At(o, x), \\ EFFECT : \neg EmptyHand( ) \wedge \neg At(o, x) \wedge Holding(o) \end{array} \right)$$
- $$Op \left( \begin{array}{l} ACTION : Drop(o), PRECOND : At(ROBOT, x) \wedge Holding(o), \\ EFFECT : EmptyHand( ) \wedge At(o, x) \wedge \neg Holding(o) \end{array} \right)$$

Not that the STRIPS formulation does not allow negative preconditions.

- B. In English, the hand is empty after doing an action if it was empty before and the action was not a successful *Pick*, or if an object was dropped.

$$EmptyHand(Result(a, s)) \Leftrightarrow \left[ \begin{array}{l} (EmptyHand(s) \wedge \neg \exists o, x (At(ROBOT, x) \wedge At(o, x) \wedge a = Pick(o))) \\ \vee (Holding(o) \wedge a = Drop(o)) \end{array} \right]$$

C.

$At(Apple, Room1) \wedge At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room1) \wedge EmptyHand( )$   
 $Pick(Apple)$   
 $At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room1) \wedge Holding(Apple)$   
 $Go(Room1, Room2)$   
 $At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room2) \wedge Holding(Apple)$   
 $Drop(Apple),$   
 $At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room2) \wedge At(Apple, Room2) \wedge EmptyHand( )$   
 $Go(Room2, Room1)$   
 $At(Orange, Room1) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room1) \wedge At(Apple, Room2) \wedge EmptyHand( )$   
 $Pick(Orange)$   
 $Holding(Orange) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room1) \wedge At(Apple, Room2)$   
 $Go(Room1, Room2), Drop(Orange)$   
 $Holding(Orange) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room2) \wedge At(Apple, Room2)$   
 $Drop(Orange)$   
 $At(Orange, Room2) \wedge At(ROBOT, Room2) \wedge At(Apple, Room2) \wedge EmptyHand( )$

Clearly the Apple and the Orange can be picked in the opposite order.